Key Activity: 1 | Recommend communications programs between agencies, ICN and DAS

Description

SLA's, cost projections, problem escalation, performance measures, business process impacts, BPR, marketing strategy, transition approaches, grandfathering

(staff hours – 400 hours)

Priority (H, M, L)	Risk (L, M, H)	Incremental Cost (\$000's)	Time to Complete (months)
Н	M	\$0	1 month and then ongoing

Considerations and Mitigation Approach (n/a if not applicable)

Legislative: n/a

Administrative Rules: n/a

Cultural:

Sensitivity to cultural 'history'; good communications skills – mitigation is carefully choosing the members, department director leadership, and ownership

Key Activity: 2 Conduct or provide input to a 3rd party spend analysis

Description

Provide input to a 3rd party spend analysis and categorize - e.g., funding source, hardware, software, telecom, staff augmentation and professional services; and service agreements

Extraction from Accounts Payable system(s) and categorization (by agency) of funding source, supplier, hardware, software, telecommunications, staff augmentation and professional services. Data will need to be reviewed to assure comparability among agencies.

Data regarding number of transactions, face value of each transaction, frequency of transaction (recurring, one-time, etc.). Subsequent effort includes establishing a planned format for review and then the examination of existing contracts and license detail. PSG initiative, \$150K. Follow-up by agency staff of 200 hours x 40 agencies = 8000 hours

Priority (H, M, L)	Risk (L, M, H)	Incremental Cost (\$000's)	Time to Complete (months)
Н	L	<mark>\$0 - \$150k</mark>	3 – 6 months

Considerations and Mitigation Approach (n/a if not applicable)

Legislative: appropriations if EIP has to conduct

Administrative Rules: n/a

Cultural:

Time to gather info and put data into the Analyst's models

Dependencies on other groups: Public Strategies Group is leading the initiative for all (not just IT) spending

Key Activity: 3	Identify roles among agencies, a central IT procurement process, and other purchasing
	groups

Description

- Develop draft redesigned process representing suggested 'best practices'
- Suggest changes to Enterprise Procurement System (I/3)
- Understand roles and responsibilities
- Recommend scope (e.g., all suppliers with multi-agency sales, all single-agency suppliers with sales > \$100k, etc.) ejc
- Review implications of 'locating' the Central IT Process within ITE or as a specialty function within GSE (among other choices)
- Consider staff requirements
- Levels and numbers of approval
- Dollar thresholds, within/outside of approved budgets
- SLA's

Review past initiatives (lessons learned)

Priority (H, M, L)	Risk (L, M, H)	Incremental Cost (\$000's)	Time to Complete (months)
Н	M	\$0 - \$300k	1500 hours 2 - 3 months

Considerations and Mitigation Approach (n/a if not applicable)

- contract and the state of the	
Legislative: n/a	
Administrative Rules: n/a	
Cultural: n/a	
Dependencies on other groups: n/a	

Kev Activity: 4

Investigate correlation between current practice and proposed model process

Description

- Interview instrument designed; (face to face)
- Refine model based on feedback from 3
- The procurement process must consider cash flow, when, how and what to buy & receive, funding streams
- Non-State funding and requirements
- Current agency performance standards against goals and how reported ejc
- Methodologies employed (RFP, detailed spec writing, drawing against existing contracts)
- What are the Procurement resources and how do they divide IT and non-IT spending and resources
- Approvals and dollar amounts

1500x3 = 4500 hours + 3000 = 7500

Priority (H, M, L)	Risk (L, M, H)	Incremental Cost (\$000's)	Time to Complete (months)
H	L	\$0k - \$150K	6 – 9 months

Considerations and Mitigation Approach (n/a if not applicable)

Legislative: n/a

Administrative Rules: n/a

Cultural: n/a

Dependencies on other groups: Availability of internal staff to participate

Develop New Processes Key Activity: 5

Description

Rule review in 4, Waiver processes in 4, Grandfathering in 4 move these New processes will be developed and tested

Process maps will be documented, available and reviewed periodically for currency. Process tasks will be defined and documented. Changes to rules and other standards will be described with documented plans to incorporate such changes. Appropriate documentation will be made available on Iowa's Intranet. A communications plan will describe the means by which the new processes are introduced to a given agency.

Priority (H, M, L)	Risk (L, M, H)	Incremental Cost (\$000's)	Time to Complete (months)
Н	n/a	internal	3 months 1500 hours

Considerations and Mitigation Approach (n/a if not applicable)

Legislative: n/a

Administrative Rules: n/a

Cultural: n/a

Recommend performance management goals and reporting to Business Oversight Key Activity: 6 Group

Description

Identify the goals and measures reported to an 'oversight' group

Priority (H, M, L)	Risk (L, M, H)	Incremental Cost (\$000's)	Time to Complete (months)
Н	unknown	\$0	1 month 300 hours

Considerations and Mitigation Approach (n/a if not applicable)

Legislative: n/a

Administrative Rules: need 'real penalties' for non-performance

Cultural: Aligning different agency expectations and calming fears; Mitigated by communications programs and

Customer Councils, establishing trust via performance;

Recommend a strategy by which each agency transitions into the Central IT Process Key Activity: 7 Description

- Sequencing (which agencies start first, second, etc.)
- Implications of existing contracts and cooperative agreements
- Evaluate transition costs, cost savings and other business impacts
- Estimate transition and migration costs while balancing risks and developing mitigation approaches eic
- Recognize value of existing processes and resources
- Review past practices and lessons learned
- Build transition project plan with intent to review for lessons learned following each transition ejc

300 plus 40 hours times 40 agencies = 1900

Priority (H, M, L)	Risk (L, M, H)	Incremental Cost (\$000's)	Time to Complete (months)
Н	Н	\$0 - \$150k	1 – 3 months

Considerations and Mitigation Approach (n/a if not applicable)

Legislative: n/a

Administrative Rules: n/a

Cultural: Sensitivity and history, program requirements; resistance to change – mitigated by performance and flexibility

Recommend a Performance-Based Partnering Strategy Key Activity: 8

Description

- Establish scope, define 'commodity, preferred & strategic vendors; consider Iowa's targeted supplier base (diversity, Iowa-based, etc)
- Notify suppliers of lowa's intent to centralize
- Very close link between Central IT Process and Federal funding and general accounting principles (GAAP)
- Identify tracking requirements for I/3 or other system to track this data
- Review prior efforts and lessons learned
- Review reciprocal agreements for data sharing, memorandums of understanding, etc
- Review and estimate department cost impact.

1500 hrs

Priority (H, M, L)	Risk (L, M, H)	Incremental Cost (\$000's)	Time to Complete (months)
Н	M	\$0 – \$75k	3 months

Considerations and Mitigation Approach (n/a if not applicable)

Legislative: n/a

Administrative Rules: n/a

Cultural: Aligning different agency expectations and calming fears; Mitigated by communications programs and

Customer Councils, establishing trust via performance

Dependencies on other groups: Possible systems changes to capture / report this data